

Appl. No. 09/610,033
Reply to Office Action dated December 19, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The coefficient of friction does not have units. As can be seen from the calculation formula, the units cancel out. This error was corrected in the specification at pages 41-42.

Claims 19 and 20 are rejected as indefinite for the term "minimized decrease" of transmittance. Applicants submit that one of ordinary skill in this art would understand the intended meaning. However, the claims are cancelled to avoid the issue.

A TERMINAL DISCLAIMER is filed herewith to avoid double patenting issues with respect to USP 6,828,006 and USP 6,652,926.

The claims are rejected based on non-enablement of the dynamic coefficient of friction measurement. Applicants submit that this term is fully explained in the specification (pages 41-42) and therefore, is enabled. However, to reduce the issues, the claim is amended to refer to the JIS Standard referenced in the specification. An English version is enclosed herewith as

Appl. No. 09/610,033
Reply to Office Action dated December 19, 2005


confirmation that the conditions outlined in the specification
are, in fact, the JIS conditions.

Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

In addition, with the allowance of the claims, rejoinder of
withdrawn process claim 15, as amended, and withdrawn process
claim 16 is respectfully requested.

Frishauf, Holtz, Goodman
& Chick, P.C.
220 Fifth Ave., 16th Floor
New York, NY 10001-7708
Tel. No. (212) 319-4900
Fax No.: (212) 319-5101
MJC/ld

Respectfully submitted,



MARSHALL J. CHICK
Reg. No. 26,853

Enclosures: TERMINAL DISCLAIMER AND FEE re: USP 6,652,926 and
USP 6,828,006

Copy of JIS-K-7125 (1987)